



Debaprasad Bandyopadhyay <anekanta@gmail.com>

Submission of Self-Represented Response in Case No. S/42/2025 – Ajay Piramal/PCHFL (through DSK Legal) vs. Debaprasad Sadhan Bandopadhyay (sic) and others

Debaprasad Bandyopadhyay <anekanta@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 2, 2025 at 3:03 PM

To: hcbom.mah@nic.in, rgpstocj-bhc@nic.in, rgpsm-bhc@nic.in, registrar.os@bhc.gov.in, rg-bhc@nic.in, rglegal-bhc@nic.in, rgestt-bhc@nic.in, ir-bhc@nic.in, regos-bhc@nic.in

Cc: ajay.piramal@piramal.com, complianceofficer.pel@piramal.com, corporate.communication@piramal.com, secretarial.department@piramal.com, customercare@piramal.com, corporate.secretarial@piramal.com, investor.relations@piramal.com, Sayali Diwadkar <sayali.diwadkar@dsklegal.com>, Samit Shukla <samit.shukla@dsklegal.com>, Saloni Shah <saloni.shah@dsklegal.com>, Shivani Khanwilkar <shivani.khanwilkar@dsklegal.com>

To**Hon. Justice Alok Aradhe,****The Honourable Chief Justice,
Bombay High Court,****Maharashtra, India**

Subject: Submission of Self-Represented Response in Case No. S/42/2025 – Ajay Piramal/PCHFL (through DSK Legal) vs. Debaprasad Sadhan Bandopadhyay (sic) and others

Respected Honourable Chief Justice,

I, Dr. Debaprasad Bandyopadhyay, aged 59, presently residing at *Anekanta*, 23/1 Jay Narayan Banerjee Lane, Baranagar, Kolkata – 700036, respectfully submit the enclosed response/statement in the matter of Case No. S/42/2025, titled *Ajay Piramal and/or Piramal Capital and Housing Finance Ltd. (PCHFL: questionable de jure existence) versus Debaprasad Sadhan Bandopadhyay (sic)* (Defendant No. 6) and others.

Please note that I am submitting this response *in propria persona (pro se)* via virtual means, without the assistance of legal counsel, chiefly due to the following:

A. I am currently unable to afford legal representation due to the severe financial abuse caused by the DHFL fixed deposit crisis—an institution once touted as a AAA-rated NBFC. This crisis has led to the loss of my hard-earned lifetime savings, reducing me to a pauper.

B. The document of a suit filed in the Hon'ble Bombay High Court, dated 17th March, 2025, was received on 28 June 2025 at approximately at 16:30 IST, giving me nearabout five days to respond till the next date of hearing on 3rd July, 2025. Meanwhile, Mr. Piramal and his legal team DSK Legal are apparently/supposedly allowed 90 days. This *appears to be* not merely asymmetrical—it seems to be a calculated form of legal intimidation by design. I've had neither time nor financial means to consult a legal advisor. Already reduced to poverty for the reason of DHFL Scam, I cannot afford to squander even a widow's mite.

C. In addition to the aforementioned financial constraints, I am also physically unable to travel to Bombay under the present circumstances. My impoverished condition has severely affected my health, leading to anxiety, stress, hypertension, and fluctuating blood pressure. Thus, I am suffering from psychosomatic disorders and cannot even afford to consult physicians. Furthermore, I endured extreme physical strain and mental distress while preparing all these documents within just three days.

This response outlines substantial preliminary objections, procedural inconsistencies, and constitutional and legal defenses in response to the notice served upon me on 28th June 2025. It further asserts my right to natural justice, freedom of expression under Article 19(1)(a) of the Constitution of India, and requests relief against what I believe to be a strategic lawsuit aimed at silencing public dissent (SLAPP). Under tort law, Mr. Ajay Piramal, along with the RBI-appointed Committee of Creditors (CoC) for DHFL, *may be* held liable for alleged wrongful actions that resulted in harm to the public at large.

I kindly request that this submission be taken on record and placed before the Hon'ble Bench for its due consideration under the principle of fairplay. I also respectfully seek directions that no coercive action be initiated against me until this response is duly heard and adjudicated.

I affirm that the enclosed statement (*along with the hyperlinked documentation*) has been written with utmost good faith and in compliance with procedural norms, to the best of my knowledge as a self-represented citizen.

Thank you for your attention and kind cooperation.

Yours faithfully,



Dr. Debaprasad Bandyopadhyay

Anekanta, 23/1 Jay Narayan Banerjee Lane,

Baranagar, Kolkata – 700036

Email: anekanta@gmail.com

Phone: +919830630707

Date: 2nd July, 2025

Enclosure:

1. Written Response/Statement in Case No. S/42/2025
2. Comprehensive web documentation containing the detailed analysis of the aforementioned matter: [Justice via Intimidation? A Financially Abused Citizen vs. the Corporate-State Nexus VIEW HERE ↴](#) (*PDF version also attached herewith for ease of access*)

Copy to:

1. Shri Sameer S. Adkar, The Hon'ble Registrar General, Bombay High Court

2. Shri A.H. Laddhad, The Hon'ble Prothonotary and Senior Master, Bombay High Court

--

Debaprasad Bandyopadhyay

Distinguished Member, Collaborator, Intradepartmental Lexicographical Studio, Saint-Petersburg State University

Professor (*honoris causa*), LA FILOLÓGICA POR LA CAUSA (USA/MEXICO)

Website: <https://spbu.academia.edu/DEBAPRASADBANDYOPADHYAY>

Former Faculty, Linguistic Research Unit, Indian Statistical Institute

2 attachments



Written Response from Defendant No. 6.pdf

313K



Comprehensive Web-Article from Defendant No. 6 Regarding Case No. 42-2025.pdf

864K