



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT (L) NO.1227 OF 2023

Piramal Capital and Housing Finance Ltd. ...Plaintiff

*Versus*

Unknown Defendant No.1 & Ors. ...Defendants

-----  
Mr. Vijay Parikh and Ms. Sayali Diwadkar i/b. DSK Legal for the  
Plaintiff.

-----  
CORAM : R.I. CHAGLA J

DATE : 6 APRIL 2023

ORDER :

1. Matter mentioned out of turn.
2. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff shall file additional Affidavit by 11th April, 2023.
3. Stand over to 12th April, 2023.

[R.I. CHAGLA J.]



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

OBJECTION UNDER RULE 986 :

- |                     |                     |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 28. S(L)/1227/2023  | 40. S(L)/2654/2024  |
| 32. S(L)/36021/2023 | 41. S(L)/7655/2024  |
| 35. S(L)/1720/2024  | 42. S(L)/8603/2024  |
| 38. S(L)/2258/2024  | 45. S(L)/12882/2024 |

Before : Anil H. Laddhad  
Prothonotary and Senior Master

Date : 13<sup>th</sup> February, 2025

Mr. Sourabh Arora i/b. M/s. DSK Legal, Advocate for Plaintiff (Sr. No. 28, 41)  
Mr. Ravindra Khilare i/b. Mr. Munir Merchant, Advocate for Plaintiff (Sr. No. 32)  
Ms. Malavika Sreejith i/b. M/s. India Law LLP, Advocate for Plaintiffs (Sr. No. 35)  
Mr. Ishaan Choudhary i/b. M/s. IC Legal, Advocate for Plaintiff (Sr. No. 38)  
Ms. Riti Gada i/b. M/s. Dua Associates, Advocate for Plaintiff (Sr. No. 40)  
Mr. Guru Shanmugam & Ms. Zainab Tinwala i/b. M/s. M & M Legal Ventures,  
Advocate for Plaintiff (Sr. No. 42)  
Mr. Shreyas Choudhari i/b. Mr. Pradeep Havnur, Advocate for Plaintiff (Sr. No. 45)

PC.: On request, by way of last chance, in the interest of justice, time is granted to the Plaintiffs to remove all office objections on the Suits and get the same numbered and/or registered within **four weeks** from the date of uploading of order, failing Suits to stand rejected for non-compliance of O.S. Rule 986.

Prothonotary and Senior Master

M.N.  
Kadam

Digitally signed  
by M.N. Kadam  
Date:  
2025.02.13  
16:52:11 +0530



Before : Anil H. Laddhad  
Prothonotary and Senior Master

Date : 11<sup>th</sup> June, 2025

**FOR DIRECTIONS :**

9. S/42/2025  
with connected  
matters

) Ms. Pratyusha Dhodda i/b. M/s. DSK Legal, Advocate  
) for Plaintiff

)  
) Ms. Nirmohi Bansod i/b. Mr. Akash Menon, Advocate  
) for Defendant No. 8  
)

) P.C. : Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff seeks time to file  
) Affidavit of Service showing service of Writ of  
) Summons upon Defendants.  
)

) Plaintiff to file Affidavit of service on or before next  
) date, failing Suit against Defendants will be dismissed  
) for non-compliance of O.S. Rule 87.  
)

)  
) Adjourned to 03.07.2025.  
)

Date : 11.06.2025

Prothonotary & Senior Master



Before : Anil H. Laddhad  
Prothonotary and Senior Master

Date : 15<sup>th</sup> July, 2025

FOR DIRECTIONS :

15. S/42/2025

with connected matters

) Ms. Pratyusha Dhodda i/b. M/s. DSK Legal,  
) Advocate for Plaintiff  
)  
) Ms. Anjana Vijay i/b. Mr. Akash Menon, Advocate  
) for Defendant No. 8  
)  
) Ms. Richa Bharti i/b. M/s. Shardu. Amarchand  
) Mangaldas, Advocate for Defendant Nos. 10 and 11  
)  
) P.C. : Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff made a statement  
) that Defendant Nos. 10 and 11 were served with  
) Writ of Summons in the month of June 2025.  
) Statement is accepted.  
)  
) Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff seeks time to file Affidavit  
) of Service. Time is granted.  
)  
) Ld. Advocate for Defendant No. 8 made a statement  
) that she has received Writ of Summons. Statement  
) is accepted.  
)  
) Served Defendants to file Written Statement within  
) stipulated time as per provisions of Order VIII Rule  
) 1 of CPC, i.e. within 90 days from the receipt of  
) Writ of Summons, failing which Suit against these  
) Defendants will be transferred to the list of  
) Undefended Suits.  
)  
) Adjourned to 03.09.2025. In the meantime,  
) Applicant to remove office objections on the **Interim**  
) **Application (L) No. 1231 of 2023, 15863 of 2023,**  
) **15882 of 2023, 11943 of 2023** and get the same  
) numbered and / or registered.

Date : 15.07.2025

Prothonotary & Senior Master





Before : Anil H. Laddhad  
Prothonotary and Senior Master

Date : 8<sup>th</sup> October, 2025

FOR DIRECTIONS :-

11. S/42/2025 ) Ms. Pratyusha Dhodda i/b. M/s. DSK Legal,  
with connected matters ) Advocate for Plaintiffs  
)  
) Mr. Sanjay Prabhala i/b. M/s. Dhananjay Pathak,  
) Advocate for Defendant No. 5  
)  
) Ms. Chinmay Babhulkar i/b. Mr. Akash Menon,  
) Advocate for Defendant No. 8  
)  
) Ms. Richa Bharati i/b. M/s. Shardul Amarchand  
) Mangaldas, Advocate for Defendant Nos. 10  
) and 11  
)  
) P.C. : Record shows that Defendant No. 5 is  
) served with Writ of Summons on 28.06.2025.  
)  
) Ld. Advocate for Defendant Nos. 5 made a  
) statement that they have filed Written Statement  
) on 25.08.2025 and also copy of the Written  
) Statement is served upon Plaintiff. Statement is  
) accepted.  
)  
) Suit against **Defendant No. 5** is transferred to the  
) list of **Long Causes**.  
)  
) Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff made a statement that  
) service of Writ of Summons upon Defendant No. 8  
) is in transit. Statement is accepted.  
)  
) Ld. Advocate for Defendant No. 8 made a  
) statement that on 15.07.2025, they made a wrong  
) statement that they have received Writ of  
) Summons. In fact, Writ of Summons is not served  
) upon them. He further made a statement that  
) they have filed Written Statement but it is not  
) approved by the department. Statements are  
) accepted.

.... 2

.... Continued

Prothonotary and Senior Master  
Date : 8<sup>th</sup> October, 2025

: 2 :

) Looking to the fact that Defendant No. 8 has  
) prepared Written Statement and got rejected when  
) filed the same, it shows that Defendant No. 8 has  
) received copy of Plaintiff along with Annexures.

)  
) Ld. Advocate for Defendant No. 8 to specify date  
) of receipt of Writ of Summons on next date; so  
) that it can be verified whether Written Statement  
) was filed within statutory period or not.

)  
) Ld. Advocate for Defendant Nos. 10 and 11 made  
) a statement that in Order dated 03.09.2025,  
) inadvertently, the date of service of Writ of  
) Summons upon Defendant Nos. 10 and 11 is  
) wrongly recorded as January 2025. In fact,  
) Defendant Nos. 10 and 11 have received Writ of  
) Summons on 07.07.2025 and 24.06.2025  
) respectively. Statements are accepted.

)  
) Despite service, Defendant Nos. 10 and 11 failed  
) to file Written Statement within stipulated time.  
) Hence, Suit against **Defendant Nos. 10 and 11** is  
) transferred to the list of **Undefended Suit**.

)  
) Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff is directed to file  
) Affidavit of Service showing service of Writ of  
) Summons upon remaining Defendants.

) Adjourned to 17.10.2025.

Date : 08.10.2025

Prothonotary & Senior Master



Before : Anil H. Laddhad  
Prothonotary and Senior Master

Date : 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2025

ACTIONS :-

8. S/42/2025  
with connected matters

) Ms. Pratyusha Dhodda i/b. DSK Legal, Advocate  
) for Plaintiffs

) Mr. Chinmay Babhulkar i/b. Mr. Akash Menon,  
) Advocate for Defendant No. 8

) Mr. Harit Lakhani a/w. Richa Bharti i/b. M/s.  
) Shardul Amarchand Managadas, Advocate for  
) Defendant Nos. 10 and 11

) PC. : In the present matter, Suit against  
) **Defendant No. 5** is already transferred to the list  
) of **Long Causes** and Suit against **Defendant Nos.**  
) **10 and 11** is already transferred to the list of  
) **Undefended Suit.**

) Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff made a statement that  
) Defendant No. 1 is served with Writ of Summons  
) on 24.06.2025, Defendant No. 3 on 26.06.2025,  
) Defendant No. 4 at another address on  
) 30.06.2025, Defendant No. 5 on 20.06.2025,  
) Defendant No. 6 on 28.06.2025. Whereas, Writ of  
) Summons sent to Defendant No. 2 and 9 returned  
) with remark “insufficient address” and “Addressee  
) left without instructions” respectively. Service  
) upon Defendant Nos. 7 and 8 is in transit.  
) Statement is accepted.

) Despite service, Defendant Nos. 1, 3, 4 and 6  
) failed to file Written Statement within stipulated  
) time, hence, Suit against **Defendant Nos. 1,3,4**  
) **and 6** is transferred to the list of **Undefended Suit.**

) Ld. Advocate for Plaintiff made a statement that  
) although service upon Defendant No. 8 is showing  
) ‘in transit’, they have served Defendant No. 8 by  
) way of private service on 21.07.2025 and on  
) 03.09.2025, Advocate for Defendant No. 8 made a  
) statement that they have filed Written Statement

.... 2

.... Continued

**Prothonotary and Senior Master**  
**Date : 17<sup>th</sup> October, 2025**

: 2 :

- ) on 02.09.2025. Statement is accepted.  
)  
) In view of earlier submission, Advocate for  
) Defendant No. 8 made a statement that they tried  
) to file Written Statement on 02.09.2025, but it  
) was not accepted. Statement is accepted.  
)  
) Looking to the aforesaid fact, even if I consider the  
) date of receipt of Writ of Summons as 21.07.2025,  
) filing of Writ of Summons on 02.09.2025 may be  
) within stipulated time. Hence, Registry to accept  
) the Written Statement of Defendant No. 8 and  
) thereafter, Suit against Defendant No. 8 will be  
) transferred to the list of Long Causes.  
)  
) Plaintiff to take steps to serve Defendant Nos. 2,7  
) and 9 with Writ of Summons and file Affidavit of  
) service to that effect on or before next date, failing  
) Suit against these Defendants will be dismissed for  
) non-compliance of O.S. Rule 87. Office to issue  
) fresh Writ of Summons, if required. Plaintiff to  
) comply with directions issued vide Practice Note  
) No. 60-A. Liberty is granted to the Plaintiff to  
) serve Defendants by all modes of service including  
) service upon Advocate for Defendants.  
)  
) Adjourned to 17.12.2025.

**Date : 17.10.2025**

**Prothonotary & Senior Master**



